Naziv projekta: GIGA Jedrska magnetna resonanca – GIGA NMR[1]

Številka: 302/7-O-CATS /26
Datum: 22. 09. 2026

Na podlagi javnega naročila »Nabava in dobava opreme za Center za analitiko trdnih snovi«, objavljenega na Portalu javnih naročil pod št. objave JN003711/2026-EUe16/01 z dne 15. 05. 2026 s popravkom JN003711/2026-EUe16/01-P01 z dne 08. 06. 2026 ter v Uradnem listu EU (TED) št. objave 333764-2026 z dne 15. 05. 2026 s popravkom 391561-2026 z dne 08. 06. 2026, Poročila strokovne komisije z dne 21. 09. 2026 ter na osnovi 90. člena Zakona o javnem naročanju (Uradni list RS, št. 91/15, 14/18, 121/21, 10/22, 74/22 – odl. US, 100/22 – ZNUZSZS, 28/23, 88/23 – ZOPNN-F in 83/25 – ZOUL; v nadaljevanju besedila: ZJN-3) izdajam naslednjo

ODLOČITEV O ODDAJI JAVNEGA NAROČILA

Kot najugodnejši ponudnik za javno naročilo »Nabava in dobava opreme za Center za analitiko trdnih snovi« **Sklop 1 - Sistem masne spektrometrije sekundarnih ionov visoke ločljivosti (Orbitrap SIMS),** se izbere:

IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Nemčija

O b r a z l o ž i t e v :

Naročnik je na Portalu javnih naročil pod številko objave JN003711/2026-EUe16/01 z dne 15. 05. 2026 s popravkom JN003711/2026-EUe16/01-P01 z dne 08. 06. 2026 ter v Uradnem listu EU (TED) št. objave 333764-2026 z dne 15. 05. 2026 s popravkom 391561-2026 z dne 08. 06. 2026, objavil javno naročilo »Nabava in dobava opreme za Center za analitiko trdnih snovi«, med drugim za **Sklop 1 - Sistem masne spektrometrije sekundarnih ionov visoke ločljivosti (Orbitrap SIMS),** po odprtem postopku, skladno s 40. členom ZJN-3.

Pravočasno, to je do dne 03. 07. 2026 do 10:00 ure, je v informacijski sistem e-JN na spletnem naslovu https://ejn.gov.si/ za javno naročilo »Nabava in dobava opreme za Center za analitiko trdnih snovi« **Sklop 1 - Sistem masne spektrometrije sekundarnih ionov visoke ločljivosti (Orbitrap SIMS),** prispela ponudba naslednjega ponudnika:

Zap. št.	Naziv ponudnika	Datum prejetja	Ura prejetja
1.	**IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstr. 15, 48149 Münster, Nemčija**	09. 06. 2026	11:42

Odpiranje ponudb je potekalo dne 03. 07. 2026 ob 11.01 uri v informacijskem sistemu e-JN na spletnem naslovu https://ejn.gov.si/.

[1] Operacijo v okviru pogodbe o sofinanciranju operacije št. C3360-26-952001 sofinancira Evropska Unija iz Evropskega sklada za regionalni razvoj v okviru Programa evropske kohezijske politike 2021-2027, Cilja politike 1: Konkurenčnejša in pametnejša Evropa s spodbujanjem inovativne in pametne gospodarske preobrazbe ter regionalne povezljivosti na področju IKT, na prednostni nalogi 11: Razvoj strateških tehnologij za Evropo – STEP, na specifičnem cilju RSO1.6: Podpora naložb, ki prispevajo k ciljem platforme za strateške tehnologije za Evropo (platforma STEP).

Naročnik je skladno z določili 4. odstavka 89. člena ZJN-3 prejeto ponudbo najprej razvrstil po merilu, določenem v dokumentaciji v zvezi z oddajo javnega naročila:

V Navodilih ponudnikom za pripravo ponudbe je za **SKLOP 1** določeno naslednje merilo za izbor najugodnejšega ponudnika:
»SKLOP 1:

Merilo za izbor najugodnejšega ponudnika je ekonomsko najugodnejša ponudba. Naročnik bo javno naročilo za sklop 1 **oddal ponudniku, ki bo prejel najvišje število točk** po spodaj navedeni formuli.

$SŠT = T_{PC} + T_{NP}$

Skupno število točk se zaokroži na dve (2) decimalni mesti. Najvišje število točk, ki ga je mogoče doseči po merilih, je sto (100,00) točk.

Uporabljeni izrazi imajo naslednji pomen:

- SŠT: skupno število točk
- T_{PC}: točke ponudbena cena
- T_{NP}: točke način plačila

Naziv merila		Najvišje število točk
T_{PC}	Točke ponudbena cena (v EUR brez DDV)	95,00
T_{NP}	Točke način plačila	5,00
Najvišje število točk		**100,00**

T_{PC} – točke ponudbena cena (v EUR brez DDV):

Kot merilo se uporabi skupna ponudbena cena (v EUR brez DDV). Ponudba, ki nudi v primerjavi z ostalimi ponudbami najnižjo ponudbeno ceno – minimalna ponujena PC (v EUR brez DDV), prejme največ točk – petindevetdeset (95) točk, preostale ponudbe (ponujena PC) pa ustrezno manjše število točk, in sicer glede na vrednost odstopanja ponujene cene od cene najugodnejšega ponudnika. Najvišje možno skupno število točk, ki jih je moč doseči v skladu s tem merilom, je petindevetdeset (95) točk.

$$T_{PC} = \left(\frac{\text{minimalna ponujena PC}}{\text{ponujena PC}}\right) \cdot 95$$

T_{NP} – točke način plačila:

Kot merilo se uporabi ponujen način plačila. Ponudnik glede na način plačila, ki ga ponuja, prejme naslednje število točk:

- **a.) plačilo v roku tridesetih (30) dni** od dneva pravilno izstavljenega e-računa *(tuj dobavitelj: računa),* ki ga dobavitelj izstavi po opravljenem prevzemu (podpisan prevzemni zapisnik s strani dobavitelja in uporabnika): **pet (5) točk**
- **b.) plačilo v roku petnajstih (15) dni** od dneva pravilno izstavljenega e-računa *(tuj dobavitelj: računa),* ki ga dobavitelj izstavi po opravljenem prevzemu (podpisan prevzemni zapisnik s strani dobavitelja in uporabnika): **tri (3) točke**
- **c.) osemdeset odstotno (80 %) plačilo** v roku trideset (30) dni od dneva pravilno izstavljenega e-računa *(tuj dobavitelj: računa),* ki ga bo dobavitelj izstavil po **uspešno opravljeni dobavi opreme** (potrjena dobavnica s strani uporabnika in plačnika) in dvajset odstotno (**20 %) plačilo** v roku trideset (30) dni po podpisu prevzemnega zapisnika, podpisanega s strani dobavitelja in uporabnika, ob pogoju predhodne izstavitve e-računa *(tuj dobavitelj: računa)*: **nič (0) točk**

Najvišje možno skupno število točk, ki jih je moč doseči v skladu s tem merilom, je pet (5) točk.

Dokazilo: Ponudnik navede način plačila na ustrezno mesto v **obrazcu št. 6a »Način plačila«.**

Če ponudnik ob oddaji ponudbe **ne predloži** izpolnjenega obrazca št. 6a »Način plačila« oziroma je ta **nepopoln** ali **neustrezen**, ponudnik po merilu Način plačila **ne prejme točk in se šteje**, da je ponudnik izbral način plačila pod točko c.)

»

Ponudnik po merilu **Točke ponudbena cena (v EUR brez DDV)** T_{PC} prejme: **95 (petindevetdeset) točk**.
Ponudnik je ponudbi predložil obrazec št. 6a »Način plačila«, v katerem je za sklop 1 označil, da izbira način plačila pod točko c), zaradi česar prejme po merilu **Točke način plačila** T_{NP}: **0 (nič) točk.**
Skupno ponudnik prejme: 95,00 točk.

Glede na navedeno merilo je razvrstitev ponudb na ocenjevalni lestvici sledeča:

Zap. št.	Naziv ponudnika	Skupno število točk (SŠT)	Ponudbena cena (v EUR brez DDV)
1.	**IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Nemčija**	**95**	**2.468.200,00 EUR**

Naročnik je ugotovil, da je glede na postavljeno merilo za izbor najugodnejšega ponudnika, ekonomsko najugodnejša ponudba ponudnika **IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Nemčija**, zato je uvodoma preveril, ali njegova ponudba ustreza zagotovljenim sredstvom naročnika.

Skladno z določili 89. člena ZJN-3 je po ugotovitvi, da ponudba ne presega zagotovljenih sredstev, naročnik nadaljeval postopek tako, da je preveril, ali je ponudba ponudnika **IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Nemčija**, skladna z zahtevami in pogoji, določenimi v obvestilu o javnem naročilu ter v dokumentaciji v zvezi z oddajo javnega naročila, ter da pri najugodnejšemu ponudniku ne obstajajo razlogi za izključitev in da le-ta izpolnjuje pogoje za sodelovanje.

Po skrbnem pregledu ponudbe in dopolnitve ponudbe ponudnika **IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Nemčija**, je naročnik ugotovil, da je ponudbo predložil ponudnik, za katerega ne obstajajo razlogi za izključitev in ki izpolnjuje pogoje za sodelovanje, njegova ponudba ustreza potrebam in zahtevam naročnika, določenim v tehničnih specifikacijah in dokumentaciji v zvezi z oddajo javnega naročila, njegova ponudba je prispela pravočasno in pri njej ni dokazano nedovoljeno dogovarjanje ali korupcija, ponudba ni neobičajno nizka ter cena ne presega zagotovljenih sredstev naročnika, zato je naročnik ponudbo ponudnika **IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Nemčija**, v skladu z določili 29. točke 1. odstavka 2. člena ZJN-3, **ocenil kot dopustno.**

Prednost izbrane ponudbe ponudnika **IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Nemčija**, je v dejstvu, da je njegova ponudba ocenjena kot dopustna.

S tem naročnik utemeljuje svojo odločitev ter je v skladu z določili ZJN-3 in glede na zgoraj navedeno obrazložitev odločil, kot izhaja iz izreka te odločitve.

POUK O PRAVNEM VARSTVU:
Zahtevek za revizijo lahko v skladu z Zakonom o pravnem varstvu v postopkih javnega naročanja (Uradni list RS, št. 43/11, 60/11 – ZTP-D, 63/13, 90/14 – ZDU-1I, 60/17, 72/19 in 83/25 – ZOUL; v nadaljevanju besedila: ZPVPJN), vloži vsaka oseba, ki ima ali je imela interes za dodelitev tega javnega naročila in ji je ali bi ji lahko z domnevno kršitvijo nastala škoda, ali oseba, ki je zagovornik javnega interesa. Naročnik bo v tem postopku javnega naročanja ravnal v skladu z drugo alinejo prvega odstavka 92. člena ZJN-3 in z izbranim ponudnikom sklenil pogodbo o izvedbi javnega naročila še pred pravnomočnostjo odločitve o oddaji naročila, saj je v postopku javnega naročila prejel le eno pravočasno ponudbo.

Zahtevek za revizijo se vloži preko portala eRevizija (https://www.portalerevizija.si/), v primeru nedelovanja oz. tehničnih težav pri delovanju portala eRevizija pa se postopa skladno s šestim odstavkom 13.a člena ZPVPJN. Zahtevek za revizijo, ki se nanaša na odločitev o oddaji naročila, se lahko vloži najkasneje v roku 8 (osem) delovnih dni od prejema

(vročitve) te odločitve. Odločitev se šteje za vročeno z dnem objave na Portalu javnih naročil. Zahtevek za revizijo mora biti obrazložen.

Obrazloženi zahtevek za revizijo mora biti pripravljen v skladu s 15. členom ZPVPJN. Vlagatelj revizije mora v zahtevku za revizijo navesti ime in naslov vlagatelja zahtevka za revizijo in kontaktno osebo, ime naročnika, oznako javnega naročila oziroma to odločitev, predmet javnega naročila, pooblastilo za zastopanje v predrevizijskem in revizijskem postopku, če bo vlagatelj nastopal s pooblaščencem ter priložiti potrdilo o vplačilu takse iz drugega odstavka 71. člena ZPVPJN. Vlagatelj mora v zahtevku za revizijo navesti očitane kršitve ter dejstva in dokaze, s katerimi se kršitve dokazujejo.

Vlagatelj mora ob vložitvi zahtevka za revizijo, skladno z drugim odstavkom 71. člena ZPVPJN, vplačati takso v višini **25.000,00 EUR**.

Takso mora vlagatelj plačati na transakcijski račun Ministrstva za finance, št. SI56 0110 0100 0358 802, odprt pri Banki Slovenije, Slovenska 35, 1505 Ljubljana, SWIFT KODA: BSLJSI2X; taksa za postopek revizije javnega naročanja, referenca **11 16110 – 7111290 – 00371126**.

Univerza v Mariboru

Rektor
prof. dr. Dean Korošak

UNIVERZA V MARIBORU 15

Vročiti:

- objava na Portalu javnih naročil skladno z desetim odstavkom 90. člena ZJN-3;
- arhiv, tu.

Project name: GIGA Nuclear Magnetic Resonance – GIGA NMR[1]

Number: 302/7-O-CATS/26
Date: 22 September 2026

On the basis of the public procurement "Purchase and Delivery of the Equipment for the Center for Solid-State Analysis", published on the Public Procurement Portal under the publication number JN003711/2026-EUe16/01 on 15 May 2026 with the correction JN003711/2026-EUe16/01-P01 of 8 June 2026 and in the Official Journal of the EU (TED) No. of publication 333764-2026 of 15 May 2026 with the correction 391561-2026 of 8 June 2026, Report of the Panel of Experts of 21 September 2026 and on the basis of Article 90 of the Public Procurement Act (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, No. 91/15, 14/18, 121/21, 10/22, 74/22 – Decision of the Constitutional Court, 100/22 – ZNUZSZS, 28/23, 88/23 – ZOPNN-F and 83/25 – ZOUL; hereinafter: ZJN-3), I hereby issue the following

DECISION ON THE AWARD OF THE PUBLIC PROCUREMENT CONTRACT

The following entity is chosen as the most favourable tenderer for the public procurement titled "Purchase and Delivery of the Equipment for the Center for Solid-State Analysis" **Lot 1 - High-resolution secondary ion mass spectrometry system (Orbitrap SIMS)**:

IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Germany

Grounds:

On the Public Procurement Portal the Contracting Authority has published the public procurement "Purchase and Delivery of the Equipment for the Center for Solid-State Analysis" under the publication number JN003711/2026-EUe16/01 of 15 May 2026 with the correction JN003711/2026-EUe16/01-P01 of 8 June 2026 and in the Official Journal of the EU (TED) No. of publication 333764-2026 of 15 May 2026 with the correction 391561-2026 of 8 June 2026, including for **Lot 1 – High-resolution secondary ion mass spectrometry system (Orbitrap SIMS)**, under an open procedure, in accordance with Article 40 of ZJN-3.

The following tenderer's tender was received timely (that is by 3 July 2026 until 10:00 a.m.) in the e-JN information system at https://ejn.gov.si/ for the public procurement "Purchase and Delivery of the Equipment for the Center for Solid-State Analysis" **Lot 1 – High-resolution secondary ion mass spectrometry system (Orbitrap SIMS)**:

No.	Tenderer's name	Receipt date	Receipt time
1.	**IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstr. 15, 48149 Münster, Germany**	9 June 2026	11:42

[1] The operation is co-financed under the Co-financing Agreement No. C3360-26-952001 by the European Union from the European Regional Development Fund under the European Cohesion Policy Programme 2021–2027, Policy Objective 1: A more competitive and smarter Europe through the promotion of innovative and smarter economic transformation and regional ICT connectivity, under Priority 11: Development of Strategic Technologies for Europe (STEP), and Specific Objective RSO1.6: Supporting investments that contribute to the objectives of the Strategic Technologies for Europe Platform (STEP Platform).

The opening of tenders took place on 3 July 2026 at 11.01 in the e-JN information system at https://ejn.gov.si/.

In accordance with the fourth paragraph of Article 89 of ZJN-3, the Contracting Authority first classified the received tender according to the criterion specified in the public procurement documents:

In the Instructions to Tenderers for Preparing the Tender, the following criterion for selecting the most favourable tenderer is specified for **LOT 1**:
"LOT 1:

The criterion for selecting the most favourable tenderer is the most economically advantageous tender. The Contracting Authority shall **award** the public contract for lot 1 **to the tenderer with the highest score** according to the formula below:

$OS = P_{TP} + P_{PM}$

The overall score is rounded to two (2) decimal places. The highest score that can be obtained according to the criteria is one hundred (100.00) points.

The used terms have the following meaning:

- OS = overall score
- P_{TP}: points tender price
- P_{PM}: points payment method

Criteria		Highest score
P_{TP}	Points tender price (in EUR excl. VAT)	95.00
P_{PM}	Points payment method	5.00
Highest score		**100.00**

P_{TP} – points tender price (in EUR excl. VAT):

The total tender price (in EUR excl. VAT) serves as the criterion. The tender that offers the lowest tender price compared to other tenders – the minimum offered TP (in EUR excl. VAT), wins the highest score – ninety five (95) points, other tenders (offered TP) an adequately lower score, namely according to the value of the deviation of the offered price from the price of the most advantageous tenderer. The highest possible overall score that can be obtained in accordance with this criterion is ninety five (95) points.

$$P_{TP} = \left(\frac{\text{minimum offered TP}}{\text{offered TP}}\right) \cdot 95$$

P_{PM} – points payment method:

The offered payment method serves as the criterion. According to the offered payment method, the tenderer obtains the following number of points:

- **a.) payment within thirty (30) days** from the date of receipt of the correctly issued e-invoice *(foreign Supplier: invoice),* issued by the Supplier after the performed handover (signed handover protocol by the Supplier and User): **five (5) points**
- **b.) payment within fifteen (15) days** from the date of receipt of the correctly issued e-invoice *(foreign Supplier: invoice),* issued by the Supplier after the performed handover (signed handover protocol by the Supplier and User): **three (3) points**
- **c.) eighty percent (80%) payment** within thirty (30) days of receipt of the correctly issued e-invoice *(foreign Supplier: invoice),* issued by the Supplier after the **successfully performed delivery of equipment** (delivery note approved by the User and Payer) and twenty percent **(20%) payment** within thirty (30) days after the signing of the handover record, signed by the Supplier and User, conditioned by the prior issuing of the e-invoice *(foreign Supplier: invoice)*: **zero (0) points**

The highest possible overall score that can be obtained in accordance with this criterion is five (5) points.

Means of proof: The tenderer indicates the payment method in the correct space **in Form No. 6a "Payment Method"**.

If upon submitting the tender, the tenderer **fails to submit** the completed Form No. 6a "Payment method" or this Form is **incomplete** or **inadequate**, according to the payment method criterion, the tenderer **does not obtain any points, and it is deemed** that the tenderer selected the payment method under item c.)

"

According to the criterion **Points tender price (in EUR excl. VAT) P_{TP}**, the tenderer receives: **95 (ninety five) points**.
The tenderer submitted with the tender Form No. 6a "Payment Method" in which it indicated that for lot 1 it selects the payment method under item c), consequently, under the payment method criterion **Points Payment Method P_{PM}**, the tenderer is awarded **0 (zero) points.**
The tenderer is awarded a total of 95 points.

Based on the specified award criterion, the tenders are ranked on the evaluation scale as follows:

No.	Tenderer's name	Overall score (OS)	Tender price (in EUR excl. VAT)
1.	**IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Germany**	**95**	**2,468,200.00 EUR**

The Contracting Authority established that, based on the criterion for the selection of the most advantageous tender, the tender submitted by **IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Germany**, was the most economically advantageous. Accordingly, it first verified whether the tender was within the funds available to the Contracting Authority.

In accordance with the provisions of Article 89 of ZJN-3, after establishing that the tender did not exceed the funds available, the Contracting Authority proceeded to verify whether the tender submitted by **IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Germany,** complied with the requirements and conditions set out in the public procurement notice and the public procurement documentation. The Contracting Authority also verified that there are no grounds for exclusion applicable to the most economically advantageous tenderer and that the tenderer meets the selection criteria.

After a thorough review of the tender and the tender clarification submitted by **IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Germany**, the Contracting Authority established that the tender was submitted by a tenderer in respect of which there are no grounds for exclusion and which meets the selection criteria, that the tender of the tenderer meets the Contracting Authority's needs and requirements set out in Technical Specifications and public procurement documentation, that the tender was received in due time, that there is no evidence of collusion or corruption, that it is not abnormally low and that the price does not exceed provided resources of the Contracting Authority, therefore, in accordance with point 29 of the first paragraph of Article 2 of ZJN-3, the Contracting Authority **assessed** the tender submitted by **IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Germany, as admissible**.

The advantage of the selected tender, submitted by the tenderer **IONTOF GmbH, Heisenbergstraße 15, 48149 Münster, Germany**, is in the fact that the tender of the selected tenderer is evaluated as admissible.

With this, the Contracting Authority substantiates its decision and, in accordance with the provisions of the Public Procurement Act (ZJN-3) and based on the reasoning provided above, has decided as stated in the operative part of this Decision.

INFORMATION ON LEGAL PROTECTION:
In accordance with the Legal Protection in Public procurement Procedures Act (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, No. 43/11, 60/11 – ZTP-D, 63/13, 90/14 – ZDU-1I, 60/17, 72/19 and 83/25 – ZOUL; hereinafter: ZPVPJN) a request for a review may be submitted by any person who has or has had an interest in the award of this public contract

and is or may have been harmed by an alleged infringement, or any person who is an advocate of public interest. In this public procurement procedure, the Contracting Authority will act in accordance with the second indent of the first paragraph of Article 92 of ZJN-3 and conclude a contract with the successful tenderer before the finality of the decision on the award of the contract, as it has received only one timely tender in the procurement procedure.

The request for review is submitted through the eRevizija portal (https://www.portalerevizija.si/). In the event of a failure or technical difficulties in the operation of the eRevizija portal, it is to be acted in accordance with Paragraph 6 of Article 13.a of the ZPVPJN. A request for review referring to the contract award decision may be submitted at the latest within 8 (eight) working days from the receipt (service) of this Decision. The Decision shall be deemed to have been served with the day of being published on the Public Procurement Portal. The request for review needs a justification.

The justified request for review must be prepared in accordance with Article 15 of ZPVPJN. In the request for review, the review applicant has to specify its name and address as well as its contact person, the name of the Contracting Authority, public procurement designation or this decision, subject-matter of the public procurement, power of representation in the pre-review and review procedures (if the applicant intends to appear with a representative) and attach a certificate of the payment of the fee referred to in the second Paragraph of Article 71 of the ZPVPJN. In the request for review, the applicant must specify the alleged violations, along with the facts and evidence supporting those violations.

Upon submitting the request for review, in accordance with the second paragraph of Article 71 of ZPVPJN, the applicant shall pay a fee in the amount of **EUR 25,000.00**.

The applicant must pay the fee to the transaction account of the Ministry of Finance, No. SI56 0110 0100 0358 802, opened with Banka Slovenije, Slovenska 35, 1505 Ljubljana, SWIFT CODE: BSLJSI2X; fee for the public procurement review procedure, reference: **11 16110 – 7111290 – 00371126**.

University of Maribor

Rector
Prof. dr. Dean Korošak



Serve to:

- publication on the Public Procurement Portal, in accordance with the tenth Paragraph of Article 90 of ZJN-3;
- archive, here.